UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,372	08/21/2003	James L. Cook	UMO 1555	7442
321 SENNIGER PO	7590 04/18/2007 OWERS		EXAMINER WILLSE, DAVID H ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ONE METRO	POLITAN SQUARE			
16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO				
,			3738	
		<u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	04/18/2007	FLECT	RONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/18/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

			6				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/645,372	COOK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Dave Willse	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	April 2006.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
	,—— ,,						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	 	O (DTO 4/5)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/645,372

Art Unit: 3738

The examiner apologizes for the excessive delay in the present Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-12, and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dee, GB 1 528 906, which discloses a humeral component 10 comprising a spool 11 having a contoured external surface 13 (Figures 2 and 4) and sized and shaped to fit within a recess cut between medial and lateral aspects of a humeral condyle (Figure 8; page 3, line 71) and a radioulnar component comprising a body 31 having a generally U-shaped contour (Figures 5, 7, and 9) with an inner peripheral surface 33 defining an articular surface (page 3, lines 31-32) sized and shaped for engagement with surface 13 (Figures 8 and 9; page 3, lines 30-45). The further limitations of claims 2 and 3 would have been inherent in the modification proposed at page 3, lines 116-119. Regarding claim 7 and others, although the grooves 19 and 20 are apparently intended to receive cement (page 3, lines 13-18; page 2, lines 26-30), these recessed configurations, along with other cavities depicted in Figure 4, are *capable* of receiving bone. Regarding claim 10 and others, the "screw or equivalent member" (page 2, lines 22-23) can be viewed as a threaded peg. Regarding claim 11, element 14 (Figures 2, 4, and 8) is seen as a

Application/Control Number: 10/645,372

Art Unit: 3738

panel. Regarding claim 12, the annular portion defining the outer boundary of the groove 19 (Figure 4) is interpreted as a second panel.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dee, GB 1 528 906. Regarding claim 4, guides for locating surgical cuts to remove portions of a humerus and ulna for aligning an endoprosthetic elbow with the physiological center of natural elbow rotation were well known in the art and would have been obvious to the ordinary practitioner from the discussion at page 3, lines 66-76. Regarding claim 13, cleats would have been obvious in order to enhance securement, particularly prior to cement hardening.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dee, GB 1 528 906, in view of Dee, GB 1 452 924. Medullary stems were common in the art, as seen in Dee '924, for example, and would have been an obvious variant in order to further bolster the affixation to the humerus, with motivation having been provided by the explicit references to Dee '924 at page 1, line 37, and page 3, line 116, of Dee '906.

The Applicant's remarks have been considered. The Applicant questions whether there is "any rule or suggestion that requires the applicant to specifically point out support in the specification for amendments to the claims and newly added claims" (Applicant's reply of April 24, 2006: first sentence of the footnote on page 1). As seen, for example, from MPEP § 2163.06, section III, first sentence, "[t]he claims as filed in the original specification are part of the disclosure". Therefore, any amendments made to the claims constitute "amendments made to the disclosure" (MPEP § 2163.06, section I, last sentence). The Applicant's comments of January 5, 2006, have been reviewed but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,372 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762 and who is generally available Monday through Thursday and often on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738